

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/576,188	0	5/23/2000	Etsuji Tagami	10873.533US01	3963
23552	7590	03/08/2005		EXAMINER	
MERCHAN	T & GO	ULD PC	HODGES, MATTHEW P		
P.O. BOX 290 MINNEAPOL	_	55402-0903		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2879	

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A.H	1
s	
tion, he (3) a he following	
later. In no	
ITHIN TWO	
on fee have e under 37 set forth in (b) duce any	
e of Appeal e Notice of tice of	
ause	
e issues for	
TOL-324).	
canceling	
lanation of	
ne entered	ı

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/576,188	TAGAMI ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Matt P. Hodges	2879

	Matt P. Hodges	2879					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 21 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (and Continued Examination (BCE) in compliance time periods:	g a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abar an amendment, affidavit, or other peal (with appeal fee) in complianc	ndonment of this appl evidence, which place e with 37 CFR 41.31;	es the or (3) a				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have seen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) bove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). MENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will not be entered	because				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better	ter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or		inatad alaima					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		awaliant Amaandraant	(DTOL 224)				
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 		omphant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	· ——	timely filed emends	ont concoling				
the non-allowable claim(s).	nowable il submitted ill a separate	, timery med amendm	ient canceing				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10.	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Attached Explanation.</u> 	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					
<u> </u>							

Application/Control Number: 09/576,188

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2/21/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding applicant's assertion that the field provided by the two coils, identified in the final rejection dated 11/19/2004, is a barrel field and is not substantially uniform at the three beams, the examiner respectfully disagrees. The two coils are each formed on either side of the electron path as shown in the figure and at least along the plane of the electron path between the two coils, produces a substantially uniform magnetic field. Further this field is preliminary to the deflection yoke as required by the claims. Any functional language in the claims is only patentable in that it establishes structural differences between the prior art and claimed device. In this case no such claimed differences exist and as such the rejection stands.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matt P Hodges whose telephone number is (571) 272-2454. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/576,188

Art Unit: 2879

Page 3

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mph M

JOSEPH WILLIAMS
PRIMARY EXAMINES

Geregh William